

## General

### Title

Delirium: proportion of patients meeting diagnostic criteria on the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM).

### Source(s)

Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. Ann Intern Med. 1990 Dec 15;113(12):941-8. [PubMed](#)

## Measure Domain

### Primary Measure Domain

#### Outcome

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the [Measure Validity](#) page.

### Secondary Measure Domain

#### Process

## Brief Abstract

### Description

This measure assesses the proportion of patients meeting the diagnostic criteria for delirium as measured by the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) instrument.

### Rationale

Delirium is a common, serious, and potentially preventable source of morbidity and mortality for older hospitalized patients. Delirium has assumed particular importance because patients over 65 currently account for more than 48% of all days of hospital care. Based on 1994 figures, each year delirium complicates hospital stays for over 2.3 million older persons, involving over 17.5 million inpatient days, and accounting for over \$4 billion of Medicare expenditures. Substantial additional costs accrue following

hospital discharge because of the increased need for institutionalization, rehabilitation, and home care. Importantly, the incidence of delirium is likely to increase with the aging of the population. These projections highlight the clinical and health policy implications of delirium. Moreover, delirium meets the criteria for a health care quality indicator: it is common, frequently iatrogenic, and integrally linked to processes of care. Thus, delirium fulfills Williamson's principle of "maximal achievable benefit: the diagnosis is frequent, deficiencies in care are common and serious, and the deficiencies are correctable." Because of its ease of use, the Confusion Assessment Method is currently the most widely used instrument for detection of delirium worldwide.

## Primary Clinical Component

Delirium; Confusion Assessment Method instrument; diagnosis

## Denominator Description

All patients studied, typically a cohort of older persons, such as hospital or nursing home admissions

## Numerator Description

The number of patients from the denominator meeting the diagnostic criteria for delirium as assessed by the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) instrument.

## Evidence Supporting the Measure

### Evidence Supporting the Criterion of Quality

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

## Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

### Need for the Measure

Use of this measure to improve performance

### Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

Inouye SK, Bogardus ST Jr, Charpentier PA, Leo-Summers L, Acampora D, Holford TR, Cooney LM Jr. A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. *N Engl J Med.* 1999 Mar 4;340(9):669-76. [PubMed](#)

Marcantonio ER, Flacker JM, Wright RJ, Resnick NM. Reducing delirium after hip fracture: a randomized trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2001 May;49(5):516-22. [PubMed](#)

Milisen K, Foreman MD, Abraham IL, De Geest S, Godderis J, Vandermeulen E, Fischler B, Delooz HH, Spiessens B, Broos PL. A nurse-led interdisciplinary intervention program for delirium in elderly hip-fracture patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2001 May;49(5):523-32. [PubMed](#)

# State of Use of the Measure

## State of Use

Current routine use

## Current Use

Internal quality improvement

# Application of Measure in its Current Use

## Care Setting

Hospitals

Long-term Care Facilities

## Professionals Responsible for Health Care

Nurses

Physicians

Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians

## Lowest Level of Health Care Delivery Addressed

Single Health Care Delivery Organizations

## Target Population Age

Age greater than or equal to 65 years

## Target Population Gender

Either male or female

## Stratification by Vulnerable Populations

Unspecified

# Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

## Incidence/Prevalence

Delirium, defined as an acute disruption of attention and cognition, occurs in 14% to 56% of older

hospitalized patients and is the most frequent complication of hospitalization in these patients.

## Evidence for Incidence/Prevalence

Inouye SK, Schlesinger MJ, Lydon TJ. Delirium: a symptom of how hospital care is failing older persons and a window to improve quality of hospital care. *Am J Med.* 1999 May;106(5):565-73. [103 references] [PubMed](#)

## Association with Vulnerable Populations

Delirium, also known as acute confusional state, is a common, serious, and potentially preventable source of morbidity and mortality among hospitalized older patients.

## Evidence for Association with Vulnerable Populations

Inouye SK, Bogardus ST Jr, Charpentier PA, Leo-Summers L, Acampora D, Holford TR, Cooney LM Jr. A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. *N Engl J Med.* 1999 Mar 4;340(9):669-76. [PubMed](#)

Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med.* 1990 Dec 15;113(12):941-8. [PubMed](#)

## Burden of Illness

The development of delirium in the hospital is associated with mortality rates of 25% to 33%, increased morbidity, functional decline, increased need for nursing surveillance, greater hospital costs, increased length of stay and greater rates of nursing home placement.

## Evidence for Burden of Illness

Inouye SK, Schlesinger MJ, Lydon TJ. Delirium: a symptom of how hospital care is failing older persons and a window to improve quality of hospital care. *Am J Med.* 1999 May;106(5):565-73. [103 references] [PubMed](#)

Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med.* 1990 Dec 15;113(12):941-8. [PubMed](#)

## Utilization

Based on 1994 figures, each year delirium complicates hospital stays for over 2.3 million older persons, involving over 17.5 million inpatient days, and accounting for over \$4 billion of Medicare expenditures. Substantial additional costs accrue following hospital discharge because of the increased need for institutionalization, rehabilitation, and home care.

## Evidence for Utilization

Inouye SK, Bogardus ST Jr, Charpentier PA, Leo-Summers L, Acampora D, Holford TR, Cooney LM Jr. A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. N Engl J Med. 1999 Mar 4;340(9):669-76. [PubMed](#)

Inouye SK, Schlesinger MJ, Lydon TJ. Delirium: a symptom of how hospital care is failing older persons and a window to improve quality of hospital care. Am J Med. 1999 May;106(5):565-73. [103 references] [PubMed](#)

## Costs

See "Utilization" field.

# Institute of Medicine (IOM) Healthcare Quality Report Categories

## IOM Care Need

Getting Better

## IOM Domain

Effectiveness

# Data Collection for the Measure

## Case Finding

Users of care only

## Description of Case Finding

A cohort of older persons, such as hospital or nursing home admissions

## Denominator Sampling Frame

Patients associated with provider

## Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions

### Inclusions

All patients studied, typically a cohort of older persons, such as hospital or nursing home admissions

### Exclusions

Unspecified

## Relationship of Denominator to Numerator

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

## Denominator (Index) Event

Institutionalization

## Denominator Time Window

Time window is a single point in time

## Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions

### Inclusions

The number of patients from the denominator meeting the diagnostic criteria\* for delirium as assessed by the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) instrument

\*The measure is scored based on ratings of four key features of delirium:

- Acute onset and fluctuating course
- Inattention
- Disorganized thinking
- Altered level of consciousness

The diagnosis of delirium by CAM requires the presence of features (1), (2), and either (3 or 4).

### Exclusions

Unspecified

## Measure Results Under Control of Health Care Professionals, Organizations and/or Policymakers

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

## Numerator Time Window

Encounter or point in time

## Data Source

Patient survey

## Level of Determination of Quality

Individual Case

## Outcome Type

Proxy for Outcome

## Pre-existing Instrument Used

Unspecified

# Computation of the Measure

## Scoring

Rate

## Interpretation of Score

Better quality is associated with a lower score

## Allowance for Patient Factors

Unspecified

## Standard of Comparison

Internal time comparison

# Evaluation of Measure Properties

## Extent of Measure Testing

When validated against the reference standard ratings of geriatric psychiatrists' ratings based on comprehensive psychiatric assessment, the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) had a sensitivity of 94-100%, specificity of 90-95%, positive predictive value of 91-94%, and a negative predictive value of 90-100%. The interobserver reliability of the CAM was high (Kappa = 0.81-1.0). Since delirium is a fluctuating condition by nature, test-retest reliability cannot be validly assessed.

## Evidence for Reliability/Validity Testing

Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. Ann Intern Med. 1990 Dec 15;113(12):941-8. [PubMed](#)

# Identifying Information

## Original Title

The Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) for detection of delirium.

## Submitter

Inouye, Sharon K., MD, MPH - Independent Author(s)

## Developer

Inouye, Sharon K., MD, MPH - Independent Author(s)

## Funding Source(s)

None

## Composition of the Group that Developed the Measure

Sharon K. Inouye, MD, MPH, Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School

## Financial Disclosures/Other Potential Conflicts of Interest

None

## Adaptation

This measure was not adapted from another source.

## Release Date

1990 Dec

## Measure Status

This is the current release of this measure.

## Source(s)

Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. Ann Intern Med. 1990 Dec 15;113(12):941-8. [PubMed](#)

## Measure Availability

The individual measure, "The Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) for Detection of Delirium" is published in the "Annals of Internal Medicine," 1990; 113:941-48.

For further information and to view the Training Manual, see

[http://hospitalelderlifeprogram.org/pdf/The\\_Confusion\\_Assessment\\_Method.pdf](http://hospitalelderlifeprogram.org/pdf/The_Confusion_Assessment_Method.pdf) .

## NQMC Status

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on February 27, 2003. The information was verified by the measure developer on March 3, 2003.

## Copyright Statement



No copyright restrictions apply.

# Disclaimer

## NQMC Disclaimer

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse<sup>®</sup> (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the [NQMC Inclusion Criteria](#).

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of measures represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NQMC, AHRQ, or its contractor, ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.